

# Structural and Genetical Studies on the High-molecular-weight Subunits of Wheat Glutenin

Part 1: Allelic Variation in Subunits Amongst Varieties of Wheat (Triticum aestivum)

P.I. Payne, L.M. Holt and C.N. Law

Plant Breeding Institute, Maris Lane, Trumpington, Cambridge (England)

Summary. The high-molecular-weight (HMW) subunits of glutenin from about 185 varieties were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). About 20 different, major subunits were distinguished by this technique although each variety contained, with only a few exceptions, between 3 and 5 subunits. Further inter-varietal substitution lines to those already described (Payne et al. 1980) were analysed and the results indicate that all the HMW subunits are controlled by the homoeologous group 1 chromosomes. All hexaploid varieties studied except 'NapHal' contained two major subunits controlled by chromosome 1D. Their genes were shown to be tightly linked genetically for only four different types of banding patterns were observed. The nominal molecular weights determined after fractionation in 10% polyacrylamide gels were between 110,000 and 115,000 for the larger of the two subunits and between 82,000 and 84,000 for the smaller. One quarter of the varieties contained only one major HMW subunit controlled by chromosome 1B whereas the rest had two. The chromosome 1B subunits were the most varied and nine different banding patterns were detected. All the subunits had mobilities which were intermediate between those of the two chromosome 1D-controlled subunits. Only two types of HMW subunit controlled by chromosome 1A were detected in all the varieties examined; a single variety never contained both of these subunits and 40% of varieties contained neither. The chromosome 1A-controlled subunits had slightly slower mobilities in 10% gels than the largest HMW subunit controlled by chromosome 1D. About 100 single grains were analysed from each of five different crosses of the type (F<sub>1</sub> of variety A  $\times$  variety B)  $\times$  variety C. The results indicate that the genes on chromosome 1B which control the synthesis of subunits 6, 7, 13, 14 and 17 are allelic, as are the genes of the chromosome 1A-controlled subunits, 1 and  $2^*$ .

Key words: Glutenin – Triticum – Genetics – SDS – Polyacrylamide-gel-electrophoresis

## **1** Introduction

The high-molecular-weight (HMW) subunits of glutenin constitute only a small proportion of the total glutenin complex of the wheat endosperm (Payne and Corfield 1979). In papers of this series, they have been singled out for detailed biochemical and genetical analysis because of their causal relationship with glutenin elasticity and breadmaking quality (Payne and Corfield 1979; Huebner and Wall 1976; Arakawa et al. 1977; Burnouf and Bouriquet 1980; Payne et al. 1981). This introductory paper describes the genetic variation in HMW subunits in a large selection of hexaploid wheat varieties of *Triticum aestivum* L, as determined by one-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of SDS (SDS-PAGE).

#### 2 Materials and Methods

#### Plant Material

Most of the varieties used in this study were taken from the collection of wheats maintained at the Plant Breeding Institute, Cambridge, UK. A range of Australian and New Zealand varieties were kindly provided by Drs. J.R. Syme, C.R. Wrigley and R. Slack. The inter-varietal substitution line, 'Chinese Spring' ('Timstein' 1B) was developed by E.R. Sears and has been maintained at this Institute for several generations. The lines 'Capelle-Desprez' ('Vilmorin 27' 1D) and 'Chinese Spring' ('Ciano 67' 1D) were developed at this Institute.

#### SDS-PAGE

The proteins of flour samples were extracted and fractionated in 10% polyacrylamide gels by the method of Laemmli (1970) as modified by Payne et al. (1980). Gels were also made with polyacrylamide at a concentration of 5% and methylenebisacrylamide at 0.26%. Electrophoresis was overnight at 8 mA constant current and until the tracking dye, pyronin Y had reached the bottom of the gel. In some experiments where quantification of stained bands was required, the gel was cut into longitudinal strips approximately 1 cm wide so that each strip contained the fractionated products

of one sample. The strip was scanned at 560 nm using a modified Hilger-Gilford spectrophotometer. Gels with proteins precipitated by 10% trichloracetic acid without stain were scanned at 280 nm.

# 3 Results

### 3.1 Variation in HMW Subunits Amongst Varieties

A typical example of one of the many SDS-PAGE separations of varieties is shown in Fig. 1. In all, 14 major HMW subunits of different mobility are distinguishable in this gel out of the 20 found in the complete survey of 185 varieties named in Table 1 and each has been assigned a different number. The numbering system is consistent with that described in our previous communications (Payne et al. 1980; Payne et al. 1981) except for 2 bands (see legend of Fig. 1). The nominal molecular weights of the HMW subunits as determined in 10% gels range from 82,000 to 125,000 although equilibrium-sedimentation studies (Hamauzu et al. 1975) suggest these values are overestimates. With a few exceptions, each contains only between three and five major HMW subunits of glutenin (cf. Fig. 1). How-



Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE using a 10% gel of flour samples from fifteen varieties of bread-wheat. Subunits present are: slot one,  $2^*$ , 5, 7, 9 and 10, slot two, 1, 2, 7 and 12; slots three and four, 1, 5, 17, 18, and 10; slot five, 5, 7, 9 and 10; slot six, 2, 7, 9 and 12; slot seven, 1, 5, 7, 9 and 10; slot eight, 1, 5, 17, 18 and 10; slots nine to eleven, 1 (slot nine only), 5, 7, 9 and 10; slot twelve, 2, 7, 8 and 12; slot thirteen, 2, 13, 16, and 12; slot fourteen, 2, 13, 19 and 12; slot fifteen,  $2^*$ , 5, 7, 9 and 10. A slight change was made in the numbering system of subunit bands described previously (Payne et al. 1980) which was based on only 7 varieties. When many more varieties were analysed, it became clear that the allocation of three 1 Dy subunits (10, 11 and 12) was too complicated so subunit 11 was withdrawn

Table 1. Varieties analysed by SDS-PAGE

Variety	Country of origin				
Adam	Austria				
Alcedo	Germany				
Aobakomugi	Japan				
Aquila	UK				
Arawa	New Zealand				
Arjun	India				
Armada	UK				
Arminda	The Netherlands				
Atlas 66	USA				
Atou	France				
Avalon	UK				
Axel	France				
Azteca 67	Mexico				
Bajio 67	Mexico				
Banks	Australia				
Bersée	France				
Bezostaya I	USSR				
Bilbo	UK				
Blue Boy	USA				
Bonanza	USA				
Bounty	UK				
Bouquet	France				
Brigand	UK				
Broom	UK				
Budifen	Chile				
Cama	Belgium				
Cappelle-Desprez	France				
Chalk	UK				
Champlein	France				
Chenab 70	Pakistan				
Cheyenne	USA				
Chinese Spring	Unina				
Clader	Erence				
Clement	The Netherlands				
Cook	Austrolia				
Courtet	France				
Condor	Australia				
Copain	France				
Dimension	Commons				
Disponent	France				
Diagon					
Duim	UK				
Eloi	France				
Era	USA				
Eucarp	Germany				
Federation	Australia				
Flanders	France				
Flicker	New Zealand				
Flinor	France				
Fiorence Aurore	France				
Fournil	France				
riondoso	France				
riontier	UK				
Gabo	Australia				
Gamin	France				

# P.I. Payne et al.: Variation in Glutenin Subunits of Wheat. Part 1

Table	1.	(Continu	ed)
-------	----	----------	-----

Table 1. (Continued)

Variety	Country of origin	Variety	Country of origin		
GK-Protein	Hungary	Mega	UK		
Glenlea	Canada	Mexifen	Chile		
Goya	France	Mexique 50	Mexico		
Granta	UK	Millewa	Australia		
		Mironovskaya 808	USSR		
Hackman Komugi	man Komugi Japan	Monopol	Germany		
Hauser	USA	Moti	India		
Hedgehog	UK				
Heima-Desprez	France	Norbada 4	India		
Heines Kolben	Germany	Narbada 112	India		
Highbury	UK	Nautice	The Netherlands		
Hilgendorf	New Zealand	Nanuca None De	China		
Hira	India	Nong Da	Lenen		
Hobbit	UK	Norm	Japan		
Holdfast	UK	Norman	UK		
Норе	USA		110 an		
Hunters	New Zealand	Odessa 4	USSR		
Hustler	UK	Olympic	Australia		
Hybrid 46	UK	Opel	Germany		
		Oroua	New Zealand		
Insignia	Australia	Partizanka	Yugoslavia		
Jana	Poland	Pataka	New Zealand		
Jana	India	Payne	USA		
Janak Jose Combine	France	Peko	France		
Joss Cambler	France	Pembina	Canada		
K - I	Fuence	Peragis	Germany		
Kador	France	Ploughman	UK		
Karamu i	New Zealand	Ponca	USA		
Kavkaz	USSR	Poncheau	France		
Kharkov	USSR	Poros	Germany		
Kinsman	UK	Pratap	India		
Kite	Australia	Prelude	Canada		
Kleiber	Germany	Priboy 1	USSR		
Koga II	Germany	Prince	Belgium		
Kolibri	Germany	Purcari Hostianum	France		
Kopara	New Zealand	Pusa Lerma	India		
Lancota	USA	Ralle	France		
Little Joss	UK	Rannie 12	France		
Lovrin 24	Rumania	Rannyaya	Hungary		
		Red Fife	Canada		
Magdelena	France	Redman	Canada		
Manella	The Netherlands	Red River 68	USA		
Manitou	Canada	Reward	Canada		
Mara	Italy	Rex	France		
Mardler	IIK	Rongotea	New Zealand		
Maris Beacon	UK				
Maris Butler	UK	Sage	USA		
Maris Dove		Sanno	Sweden		
Maris Freeman	UK	Saratovekava-29	USSR		
Maris Fundin		Saratovskaya-29 Sava	Vugoslavia		
Marie Hunteman		Scout 66	IISA		
Maris Nimrod		Solution	Canada		
Marie Ranger	UK	Sunter,	Canada UK		
Marie Widgeon	UK	Stabarti Sanara	UN		
Markeman	UN	Shavai li Sonota Shortim	Australia		
Markus	Un Carmonii	Siece	nusualla The Natherlands		
Martonyosari 4		Sicco	Cormany		
Marcuio	Canada	Shius Slavia	Czechoslovskie		
Marquis	Canada	Sidvia Solor	UZECHOSIOVAKIA LICA		
Mayo 04	MEXICO	SUIAI	USA		

Table 1. (Continued)

Variety	Country of origin		
Spartacus	UK		
Spica	Australia		
Sportsman	UK		
Stormguard	France		
Stuart	UK		
Svenno	Sweden		
Takahe	New Zealand		
Thatcher	Canada		
Timmo	Sweden		
Timstein	USA		
Val	Belgium		
Valmy	France		
Viking	Denmark		
Villein	UK		
Vilmorin 27	France		
Vuka	Germany		
Waggoner	UK		
West Desprez	France		
Wizard	UK		
Yeoman	UK		

ever, the variety 'NapHal', in agreement with other work (Bietz et al. 1975; Lawrence and Shepherd 1980), is unique amongst the wheats tested in possessing only two subunits. Flour samples of a few variety stocks contained more than five different HMW subunits. When single grains were analysed by SDS-PAGE at least two different banding patterns emerged for each stock, each pattern consisting of between three and five bands. These flour samples must therefore either consist of two varieties accidentally mixed or be a single variety which is heterogeneous and consequently they were not included in the results of this survey.

The variation in the composition of HMW subunits as detected with 10% polyacrylamide gels has been split into three groups in Fig. 2 according to whether the genes with control the synthesis of the subunits are located on chromosome 1A, chromosome 1B or chromosome 1D (Payne et al. 1980). All the subunits were clearly distinguished in this system except for 2 and 2\*. Flours thought to contain either or both of these subunits were also fractionated in 5% polyacrylamide gels. In this system the two subunits were fully resolved, subunit 2\* having the greater electrophoretic mobility (Payne and Holt unpublished).

Only two HMW subunits are controlled by chromosome 1A (1 and  $2^*$ ) and in 10% gels, they have the slowest mobilities of all the subunits. About half the varieties analysed do not contain a chromosome 1A subunit, a quarter contain subunit 1 and a quarter subunit  $2^*$  but ALLELIC VARIATION AMONGST THE HMW SUBUNITS OF GLUTENIN.



Fig. 2. Variation in the banding patterns of HMW subunits of glutenin found in 185 varieties. The subunits have been split up into three groups according to whether they are coded for by chromosome 1A, 1B or 1D. On the left-hand side of each of the groups are the HMW subunits of 'Chinese Spring'. This, standard, subunit composition enables the relative positions of variants from each of the three groups to be superimposed. A variety will have any combination of one variant type from each of the three groups. The frequency of each of the variants in varieties is expressed as a percentage of the total for each group 1 chromosome

none contains both subunits (Fig. 2). In contrast, six HMW subunits are controlled by chromosome 1D (Fig. 2): five of them were assigned in a previous study (Payne et al. 1980) and the other, subunit 4, by the analysis of the intervarietal chromosome substitution line 'Capelle-Desprez' ('Vilmorin 27' 1D). In this line, subunit 4 (from 'Vilmorin 27') has replaced the 1D-controlled subunit 2 of 'Capelle-Desprez' (results not shown). The six subunits fall into two distinct groups with respect to their electrophoretic mobility, 1Dx (subunits 2-5) and 1Dy (subunits 10, 12). All varieties contain one subunit each of these two groups except for 'NapHal', which contains neither. However, only four of the eight possible combinations of 1D HMW subunits were detected in the 185 varieties studied. For instance, subunit 5 was always associated with subunit 10 and never with 12 (Figs. 1, 2 and Lawrence and Shepherd 1980).

Twelve different HMW subunits, more than half the total number, were assigned to genes on chromosome 1B: four on the basis of a previous genetical study (Payne et al. 1980); subunits 17 and 18 after the analysis of the intervarietal chromosome substitution lines 'Chinese Spring' ('Timstein' 1B) and 'Chinese Spring' ('Ciano 67' 1B) (results not shown); and six from indirect evidence. Varieties containing the latter subunits had either one or no subunits designated for control by chromosome 1B, they had their full complement of chromosome 1D subunits and crossed to a third variety which had a different chromosome 1B-controlled subunit from either of the other two parents. The parental types and the possible recombinants are equivalent to the ('Cheyenne'  $\times$  'Holdfast')  $\times$  'Spica'



Fig. 5. Single grain analysis of progeny from a ('Cheyenne'  $\times$  'Holdfast')  $\times$  'Spica', b ('Sappo'  $\times$  'Flanders')  $\times$  'Freeman', c ('Sicco'  $\times$  'Flanders')  $\times$  'Sappo', d ('Freeman'  $\times$  'Sonora 64')  $\times$  'Flanders' and e ('Lancota'  $\times$  'Flanders')  $\times$  'Sonora 64'. The subunit numbered in brackets is the control subunit belonging to the third parent.

 Table 2.
 Segregation frequencies of HMW subunits

progeny in Fig. 4 except all progeny will additionally have 1 dose of the chromosome 1B subunit from the third parent.

#### b) Experimental results

About 100 half grains were analysed by SDS-PAGE from each of 5 crosses. The various banding patterns obtained from each cross are shown in Fig. 5 and the results of the analysis are summarised in Table 2. For the ('Cheyenne' × 'Holdfast') × 'Spica' cross, 76 grains contained subunit 1 but not subunit 2\* and 82 grains contained 2\*. There were no grains which contained both subunits and no grains which contained neither. Therefore, no recombinants were detected in the sample analysed and as expected, the parental types occurred with a frequency ratio close to 1:1 ( $X^2$  {1} = 0.23, PO.9-0.8). The structural genes for these two subunits must either be allelic on chromosome 1A or less than 0.190 cM (P > 0.05) apart. Similarly, no recombinants were detected in progenies of the four crosses set up to determine the proximity of the HMW glutenin genes on chromosome 1B (Fig. 5b-e, Table 2), indicating that the genes for 1Bx subunits (6, 7, 13, 14 and 17) are also either allelic or very close together. One grain of the ('Lancota'  $\times$  'Flanders')  $\times$  'Sonora 64' cross lacked both subunits 13 and 6 but it was also the only grain to lack a principal  $\omega$ -gliadin known to be controlled by genes on the short arm of chromosome 1B (results not shown). Thus, rather than being a recombinant, the grain must be monosomic for the 1B chromosome of 'Sonora 64' having arisen, most probably, by the non-disjunction of a chromosome pair during meiosis in the  $F_1$  parent.

## 4 Discussion

The variation in the patterns of HMW glutenin subunits amongst different varieties of hexaploid wheat described here is similar to that published recently by Lawrence and Shepherd (1980) though there are some discrepancies. For instance, both research groups obtained the same number of chromosome 1B subunit patterns although a few were different. In addition, we detected four different types of

Cross	Subunits tested		Chromosome	Single grain analysis				
	A	В	control by:	No. analysed	A	В	A + B	_
a) (Cheyenne × Holdfast) × Spica	1	2*	1A	158	76	82	0	0
b) (Sappo X Flanders) X Freeman	14	6	1B	104	54	50	0	0
c) (Sicco X Flanders) X Sappo	6	7	1B	99	52	47	0	0
d) (Freeman X Sonora 64) X Flanders	7	17	1 <b>B</b>	104	48	56	0	0
e) (Lancota X Flanders) X Sonora 64	13	6	1B	100	48	51	0	1

banding pattern for the chromosome 1D-controlled HMW subunits as opposed to their two. The detection of different banding patterns by each research group can probably be accounted for by the different wheat varieties used in the two studies although the precise electrophoretic conditions, particularly the concentrations of acrylamide and methylenebisacrylamide used to make up the gel, can significantly affect the patterns of the HMW subunits (Payne and Holt unpublished).

In spite of the marked variation in subunit patterns it is probable that its extent is underestimated by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE. On certain occasions when proteins are fractionated particularly well, slight differences in mobility between bands of HMW subunits from different varieties are evident. This is particularly so for subunit 7. Of the variation that is detected, it is clear that most of it is due to subunits whose genes are controlled by chromosome 1B. This may give support to the hypothesis that the origin of the B genome in wheat was polyphyletic (Kimber and Athwal 1972).

The segregation studies described in this and the previous study (Payne et al. 1980) suggest that the variation in HMW subunits amongst varieties is due to allelic genes which occur at five loci: two on the long arm of chromosome 1D (Bietz et al. 1975) controlling the 1Dx and 1Dy subunits; two on the long arm of chromosome 1B (Bietz et al. 1975) controlling the 1Bx and 1By subunits, but only one on the long arm of chromosome 1A (Lawrence and Shepherd 1981). The apparent dissimilarity with respect to the number of HMW subunits controlled by chromosome 1A and its homoeologues was studied further by an analysis of various diploid species having the genomic constitution AA (results not shown). Most of them (Triticum aegilopoides, T. sinskajae, T. thaoudar and T. monococcum) actually contained two HMW subunits; one of similar mobility to either subunit 1 or subunit 2\* and the other, weaker, subunit of similar mobility to the 1By subunits. However, one of the diploids tested, T. urartu, only contained one subunit identical in electrophoretic mobility to subunit 2\*. From this work, subunits 1 and 2\* of breadwheat can be regarded as 1Ax types, their structural genes being homoeoallelic to the genes for the 1Bx and 1Dx subunits. Likewise, the additional subunits found in some of the A genome diploids are probably 1Ay types produced by a gene (or genes) homoeoallelic to genes responsible for the 1By and 1Dy subunits.

The finding that some varieties of breadwheat do not synthesize 1By and 1A subunits whereas others do, can be explained if the structural genes for these subunits are missing. Alternatively the genes are either permanently repressed or their base sequences have been changed through mutation. For instance, an alteration of an initiator sequence would prevent protein transcription and translation whereas an insertion of a terminator sequence would produce a low-molecular-weight protein which would pass undetected in our electrophoretic systems. Presumably, evolutionary changes of this kind to the structural genes would not be selected against but would be perpetuated because these proteins only form a very small proportion of the total storage protein in the wheat endosperm (Payne and Corfield 1979).

The actual position of the HMW genes on the long arms of the group 1 chromosome is not known although crosses are currently being set up to determine this. It is clear from segregation studies however that the genes for the 1Dx and 1Dy subunits are tightly linked, as are those of the 1Bx and 1By subunits. For instance, subunits 6 and 8 (1Bx and 1By subunits respectively) remained linked and never segregated in 417  $F_2$  progenies of several crosses (Payne et al. 1981, and more recent work). This tight genetic linkage would explain why only a restricted number of different combinations of 1Bx and 1By subunits and 1Dx and 1Dy subunits were detected in the analysis of varieties (Fig. 2).

One of the 1Bx subunits, subunit 6, is most unusual in that it stained much less strongly with Coomassie Blue R than any of its allelic counterparts (Fig. 3). Although Coomassie Blue is known to complex with proteins in varying amounts depending upon their amino acid composition (Van Kley and Hale 1977) the decreased dye binding to subunit 6 is most likely to be caused by a lower concentration of protein because allelic proteins must have somewhat similar biochemical structures. Supporting this argument are two other pieces of evidence: (1), the stained subunit 6 band is of similar intensity to complementary bands of allelic subunits but is much narrower and is not the same thickness, and (2) subunit 6 is also produced a weak, opaque band after precipitation in the gel with 10% trichloracetic acid, a method which is claimed to give a closer relationship between band intensity and protein amount.

An explanation for the weak staining of subunit 6 may relate to the number of structural genes present. Although it has already been postulated that the variation in HMW glutenin subunits is controlled by five active loci in breadwheat, it is possible that each of them is complex and composed of numbers of reiterated genes. Such reiteration has already been established in maize for zein storage proteins (Viotti et al. 1979). Given a similar situation in wheat, combined with variation in numbers of structural genes at each locus, then correlated variations in protein amount are likely. Indeed, supporting evidence for a correlation between protein amount and gene dosage comes from (1), chromosome 1B-monosomic grains which only have one out of the three 1B chromosomes present in the endosperm of euploid grain, produce only one third the amount of the HMW subunits controlled by that chromosome (Payne et al. 1980); (2), reciprocal  $F_1$  progeny of crosses used to